

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

<hr/>	)	NOTICE OF INTENTION TO
In the Matter of	)	PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER
	)	PARTICIPATION, NOTICE OF
KHRISTINA ANN SNIDER, an institution-	)	ASSESSMENT OF CIVIL MONEY
affiliated party of	)	PENALTY, FINDINGS OF FACT
	)	AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW,
SHELBY SAVINGS BANK, SSB	)	ORDER TO PAY, NOTICE OF
CENTER, TEXAS	)	HEARING, and PRAYER FOR
	)	RELIEF
(INSURED STATE NONMEMBER BANK)	)	
	)	FDIC-20-0078e
	)	FDIC-20-0079k
<hr/> Respondent's NMLS UI#NA	)	

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) determined that Khristina Ann Snider (Respondent) was a bookkeeper at Shelby Savings Bank, SSB, Center, Texas (Bank), and an institution-affiliated party (IAP) of the Bank. Respondent, directly or indirectly, engaged in unsafe or unsound practices in connection with the Bank between May 2015 and November 2019. Respondent's unsafe or unsound practices were part of a pattern of misconduct, caused the Bank to suffer more than a minimal loss or other damage, and Respondent received financial gain or other benefit. Respondent's unsafe or unsound practices involved personal dishonesty and demonstrate Respondent's willful and continuing disregard for the safety or soundness of the Bank.

**NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION**

The FDIC issues this Notice of Intention To Prohibit From Further Participation and Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (collectively, Notice of Charges) under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e) and the FDIC Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308, subparts A and B.

This proceeding will determine whether an order should be issued against Respondent under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e), to prohibit Respondent from further participation in the conduct of the affairs of the Bank, and any other insured depository institution or organization listed in 12 U.S.C. §1818(e)(7)(A) without the prior written approval of the FDIC and other appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency.

### **NOTICE OF ASSESSMENT OF CIVIL MONEY PENALTY**

The FDIC further issues this Notice of Assessment of Civil Money Penalty, Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and Order to Pay (collectively, Notice of Assessment) under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(2), and the FDIC Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308, subparts A and B. This proceeding assesses a \$140,000 civil money penalty against the Respondent under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(2), unless the Respondent formally objects by timely requesting a hearing under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(2)(H).

### **FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

The FDIC makes the following allegations against Respondent:

#### **I. Jurisdiction**

1. At all relevant times, the Bank was a corporation existing and doing business under the laws of the State of Texas with its principal place of business in Center, Texas.
2. At all relevant times, the Bank was an insured State nonmember bank, subject to 12 U.S.C. §§ 1811-1831aa, 12 C.F.R. Chapter III, and the laws of the State of Texas.
3. At all relevant times, Respondent was employed as a bookkeeper at the Bank, and continued to serve in that capacity.

4. At all relevant times, Respondent was an "institution-affiliated party" of the Bank under 12 U.S.C. § 1813(u), and for purposes of 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7), 1818(i) and 1818(j).

5. The FDIC has jurisdiction over the Bank, Respondent, and the subject matter of this proceeding.

## **II. Respondent's Misconduct**

6. Beginning in May 2015, Snider misappropriated funds from demand deposit account \*\*\*\*\*7309 (DDA 7309), held at the Bank in the name of Customer A.

7. Snider misappropriated funds from DDA 7309 without detection until the account closed in May 2019.

8. Snider placed the misappropriated funds into DDA \*\*\*\*\*8639, which was held at the Bank in the name of her husband (DDA 8639).

9. For purposes of the applicable statute of limitations, the transactions discussed herein include January 1, 2017 onward.

10. In 2017, in 246 transactions, Snider misappropriated a total of approximately \$62,489.23 from DDA 7309 and placed the funds into DDA 8639.

11. In 2018, in 220 transactions, Snider misappropriated approximately \$61,790.81 from DDA 7309 and placed the funds into DDA 8639.

12. In 2019, in 72 transactions, Snider misappropriated approximately \$21,212.25 from DDA 7309 and placed the funds into DDA 8639.

13. After DDA 7309 was closed in 2019, Snider misappropriated funds from several other accounts, as discussed below.

14. In 2019, in six (6) transactions, Snider misappropriated approximately \$2,530 from DDA \*\*\*\*\*6553 (DDA 6553), held at the Bank in the name of Customer B, and placed the funds into DDA 8639.

15. In 2019, in 11 transactions, Snider misappropriated approximately \$8,959.12 from DDA \*\*\*\*\*5469, held at the Bank in the name of Customer C, and placed the funds into DDA 8639.

16. In 2019, in 50 transactions, Snider misappropriated approximately \$24,692 from Bank General Ledger Accounts 1584 and 1598. Snider deposited \$20,622 of the proceeds into DDA 8639 and \$1,540 was deposited into Bank DDA \*\*\*\*\*7875, held in the name of family relatives. The remaining \$2,530 was applied to replace the funds misappropriated from DDA 6553, as described above.

17. On July 17, 2019, Snider misappropriated \$400 from DDA \*\*\*\*\*7445 (DDA 7445), held at the Bank in the name of Customer D, and placed the funds in DDA 8639.

18. Based on Respondent's misconduct as described above, the Bank suffered a loss, and Respondent received a corresponding personal gain, in the amount of approximately \$181,471.

### **III. Conclusions of Law**

19. Based on the misconduct described above, Respondent engaged or participated in unsafe or unsound practices in connection with the Bank under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e) and (i)(2).

20. Respondent's unsafe or unsound practices described above resulted in financial loss to the Bank under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e) and (i)(2).

21. Respondent's unsafe or unsound practices described above resulted in Respondent's financial gain under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e) and (i)(2).

22. Respondent's unsafe or unsound practices described above demonstrate Respondent's personal dishonesty under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e).

23. Respondent's unsafe or unsound practices described above demonstrate Respondent's willful and continuing disregard for the safety and soundness of the Bank under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e).

24. Respondent's unsafe or unsound practices described above were part of a pattern of misconduct under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(2).

#### **ORDER TO PAY**

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the FDIC determined that Respondent's unsafe or unsound practices merit a civil money penalty. After taking into account the appropriateness of the penalty with respect to the following mitigating factors under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(2)(G): size of the Respondent's financial resources and good faith, the gravity of the violation(s), the history of previous violation(s), and such other matters as justice may require, it is:

ORDERED that by reason of Respondent's unsafe or unsound practices listed above, a \$140,000 penalty is assessed against Khristina Ann Snider under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(2).

FURTHER ORDERED that the Order to Pay is stayed until 20 days after the date of service of this Notice of Assessment to allow Respondent time to object to the Order to Pay.

If Respondent wants to object to the Order to Pay, Respondent must formally request a

hearing in writing within 20 calendar days after service of this Notice of Assessment, as explained at 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(2)(H). Respondent may object to the Order to Pay by requesting a hearing in a formal Answer, as specified in 12 C.F.R. § 308.19. **If Respondent fails to request a hearing to object to the Order to Pay within 20 calendar days from the date of service of this Notice of Assessment, the penalty assessed against Respondent will be final and unappealable under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(E)(ii) and 12 C.F.R. § 308.19(c)(2), and must be paid within 60 calendar days after the date of service of this Notice of Assessment.**

#### **NOTICE OF HEARING**

Respondent must file an Answer to object to the Notice of Charges within 20 days from the date of service under 12 C.F.R. § 308.19. Respondent may file one document containing both the Answer to the Notice of Charges, and a request for hearing on the Order to Pay. The hearing will be held before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) assigned by Office of Financial Institution Adjudication (OFIA) under 5 U.S.C. § 3105. The hearing on the Notice of Charges will begin on a date set by the ALJ in Lufkin, Texas, or in another location set by the ALJ. The hearing will be public and conducted in accordance with 12 U.S.C. §§ 1811-1831aa, the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 551-559, and 12 C.F.R. Part 308, subparts A and B.

An original and one copy of all papers filed in this proceeding must be served upon OFIA, 3501 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite VS-D8116, Arlington, VA 22226-3500, in the manner specified at 12 C.F.R. § 308.10. Also, copies of all papers filed in this proceeding must be served upon the following: FDIC Administrative Officer, 550 17th Street, N.W.,

Washington, D.C. 20429-9990; Seth P. Rosebrock, Assistant General Counsel, and Sam Ozeck, Supervisory Counsel, FDIC, Legal Division, Enforcement Section, 550 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20429-9990; and Stephen C. Zachary, Regional Counsel, FDIC, Dallas Regional Office, 600 North Pearl Street, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201. Respondent is encouraged to file any subsequent documents electronically with OFIA at [ofia@fdic.gov](mailto:ofia@fdic.gov).

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

The FDIC prays that an Order of Prohibition from Further Participation under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e) and an Order to Pay in the amount of \$140,000 and assessed under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i)(2), be issued against Khristina Ann Snider.

Issued under delegated authority.

Dated: April 26, 2022.

/s/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Doreen R. Eberley  
Director  
Division of Risk Management Supervision