

**FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION
WASHINGTON, D.C.**

In the Matter of)

DIANE K. KELLEY,)

Individually, and as a Former)
Institution-Affiliated Party of)

SECURITY BANK OF KANSAS CITY)
KANSAS CITY, KANSAS)

(INSURED STATE NONMEMBER BANK))

**ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM
FURTHER PARTICIPATION**

FDIC-15-0177e

Diane K. Kelley (“Respondent”) has been advised of the right to receive a NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“NOTICE”) issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) detailing the unsafe or unsound banking practices for which an ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“ORDER”) may issue, and Respondent has been further advised of the right to a hearing on the alleged charges under section 8(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (“Act”), 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e), and the FDIC’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308. Having waived those rights, Respondent entered into a STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“CONSENT AGREEMENT”) with a representative of the Legal Division of the FDIC, whereby, solely for the purpose of this proceeding and without admitting or denying any unsafe or unsound banking practices, Respondent consented to the issuance of an ORDER by the FDIC.

The FDIC considered the matter and determined it had reason to believe that:

- (a) Respondent has engaged or participated in unsafe or unsound banking practices as an institution-affiliated party of Security Bank of Kansas City, Kansas City, Kansas (“Bank”);
- (b) By reason of such unsafe or unsound banking practices, the Bank has suffered financial loss or other damage and Respondent received financial gain or other benefit; and
- (c) Such unsafe or unsound banking practices involve personal dishonesty on the part of Respondent and demonstrate Respondent’s willful and continuing disregard for the safety or soundness of the Bank.

The FDIC further determined that such practices demonstrate Respondent’s unfitness to serve as a director, officer, person participating in the conduct of the affairs, or as an institution-affiliated party of the Bank, any other insured depository institution, or any other agency or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A).

The FDIC, therefore, accepts the CONSENT AGREEMENT and issues the following:

ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION

1. Diane K. Kelley is hereby, without the prior written approval of the FDIC and the appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency, as that term is defined in section 8(e)(7)(D) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D), prohibited from:

- (a) participating in any manner in the conduct of the affairs of any financial institution or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

- (b) soliciting, procuring, transferring, attempting to transfer, voting, or attempting to vote any proxy, consent, or authorization with respect to any voting rights in any financial institution enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);
- (c) violating any voting agreement previously approved by the appropriate Federal banking agency; or
- (d) voting for a director, or serving or acting as an institution-affiliated party.

2. This ORDER will become effective immediately upon its issuance by the FDIC. The provisions of this ORDER will remain effective and enforceable except to the extent that, and until such time as, any provision of this ORDER shall have been modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC.

Pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated this 18th day of September, 2015.

/s/

Christopher J. Newbury
Associate Director
Division of Risk Management Supervision