

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter of

MICHAEL TODD MANSFIELD, individually,
and as an institution-affiliated party of

UNITED SOUTHERN BANK
HOPKINSVILLE, KENTUCKY

(INSURED STATE NONMEMBER BANK)

ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM
FURTHER PARTICIPATION

FDIC-15-0190e

Michael Todd Mansfield, ("Respondent") has been advised of the right to receive a NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") detailing the violations, unsafe or unsound practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty for which an ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION ("ORDER") may be issued, and has been further advised of the right to a hearing on the alleged charges under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e), and the FDIC's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308. Having waived those rights, the Respondent entered into a STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION ("STIPULATION") with a representative of the Legal Division of the FDIC, whereby solely for the purpose of this proceeding and without admitting or denying any violations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and any breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent consented to the issuance of an ORDER by the FDIC.

The FDIC considered the matter and determined it had reason to believe that:

(a) The Respondent has engaged or participated in violations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty as an institution-affiliated party of United Southern Bank, Hopkinsville, Kentucky ("Bank");

(b) By reason of such violations, practices and breaches of fiduciary duty, the Bank has suffered financial loss; and

(c) Such violations, practices and breaches of fiduciary duty demonstrate the Respondent's willful and continuing disregard for the safety or soundness of the Bank.

The FDIC further determined that such violations, practices and breaches of fiduciary duty demonstrate the Respondent's unfitness to serve as a director, officer, person participating in the conduct of the affairs, or as an institution-affiliated party of the Bank, any other insured depository institution, or any other agency or organization enumerated in 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A).

The FDIC, therefore, accepted the STIPULATION and issued the following:

ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION

1. Respondent is hereby, without the prior written approval of the FDIC and the appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency, as that term is defined in 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D), prohibited from:

(a) participating in any manner in the conduct of the affairs of any financial institution or organization enumerated in 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(b) soliciting, procuring, transferring, attempting to transfer, voting, or attempting to vote any proxy, consent or authorization with respect to any voting rights in any financial institution enumerated in 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(c) violating any voting agreement previously approved by the appropriate Federal banking agency; or

(d) voting for a director, or serving or acting as an institution-affiliated party.

2. This ORDER will become effective upon its issuance by the FDIC. The provisions of this ORDER will remain effective and enforceable except to the extent that, and until such time as, any provision of this ORDER shall have been modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC.

Pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated this 5th day of July, 2017.

/s /
Patricia A. Colohan
Associate Director
Division of Risk Management Supervision