FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter of **RONALD P. WALDMANN, individually, and as an institution-affiliated party of** **TRICENTURY BANK** **SIMPSON, KANSAS** **(Insured State Nonmember Bank)**	)	**ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION** **FDIC-11-431e**

Ronald P. Waldmann ("Respondent"), has been advised of the right to receive a NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION ("NOTICE") issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), detailing the alleged violations of law and regulations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty for which an ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION ("ORDER") may be issued, and has been further advised of the right to a hearing on the alleged charges under section 8(h) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act ("Act"), 12 U.S.C. § 1818(h), and the FDIC Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308. Having waived those rights, Respondent entered into a STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION and ORDER TO PAY ("CONSENT AGREEMENT"), with a representative of the Legal Division of the FDIC, whereby solely for the purpose of this proceeding and without admitting or denying any violations of law or regulations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, or breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent consented to the issuance of an ORDER by the FDIC.

Upon due consideration, the FDIC determined it had reason to believe that:

(a) Respondent, as an institution-affiliated party of TriCentury Bank, Simpson, Kansas ("Bank"), engaged in a pattern of violations of law and/or regulation, unsafe or unsound practices, and/or breaches of fiduciary duty from 2008 through 2010, by engaging in a pattern of utilizing unfunded Bank cashier's checks to make payments on personal debts for his personal benefit, and a pattern of concealing the past-due status of loans by creating $0 payment computer entries to circumvent a "past-due" determination by the Bank's computer system.

(b) By reason of such violations, practices, and/or breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent received financial gain or other benefit, the Bank has suffered or will probably suffer financial loss or other damage, and/or the interests of the Bank's depositors have been or could be prejudiced; and

(c) Such practices and/or breaches of fiduciary duty involve personal dishonesty on the part of the Respondent and/or demonstrate the Respondent’s willful and/or continuing disregard for the safety or soundness of the Bank.

The FDIC further determined that such violations, practices, and breaches of fiduciary duty demonstrate the Respondent’s unfitness to serve as a director, officer, person participating in the conduct of the affairs, or as an institution-affiliated party of the Bank, of any other insured depository institution, or of any other agency or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A).

The FDIC, therefore, accepts the CONSENT AGREEMENT and issues the following:

ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION

1. Unless Respondent receives prior written approval of the FDIC and the appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency, as that term is defined in section 8(e)(7)(D) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D), Respondent is prohibited from:

(a) participating in any manner in the conduct of the affairs of any financial institution or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(b) soliciting, procuring, transferring, attempting to transfer, voting, or attempting to vote any proxy, consent or authorization with respect to any voting rights in any financial institution enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(c) violating any voting agreement previously approved by the appropriate Federal banking agency; or

(d) voting for a director, or serving or acting as an institution-affiliated party.

2. This ORDER will become effective immediately upon its issuance. The provisions of this ORDER will remain effective and enforceable except to the extent that, and until such time as, any provision of this ORDER shall have been modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC.

Pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 9th day of March, 2012.

/s/

Serena L. Owens
Associate Director
Division of Risk Management
Supervision