

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

_____	)	
In the Matter of	)	
	)	
EDWARD S. CASSEB, individually,	)	
and as institution-affiliated	)	ORDER OF PROHIBITION
party of	)	
	)	FDIC-10-536e
	)	
CITIZENS STATE BANK OF LULING	)	
LULING, TEXAS	)	
	)	
INSURED STATE NONMEMBER BANK	)	
_____	)	

Edward S. Casseb (“Respondent”) has been advised of the right to receive a NOTICE OF INTENTION TO PROHIBIT FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“NOTICE”) issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) detailing any violations of law or regulations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and/or breaches of fiduciary duty for which an ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“ORDER”) may issue, and has been further advised of the right to a hearing on the alleged charges under section 8(e) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (“Act”), 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e), and the FDIC’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 12 C.F.R. Part 308. Having waived those rights, the Respondent entered into a STIPULATION AND CONSENT TO THE ISSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION (“CONSENT AGREEMENT”) with a representative of the Legal Division of the FDIC, whereby, solely for the purpose of this proceeding, and without admitting or denying any violations of law or regulations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and/or breaches of fiduciary duty, Respondent consented to the issuance of an ORDER by the

FDIC.

The FDIC considered the matter and determined it had reason to believe that:

(a) The Respondent engaged or participated in violations of law or regulations, unsafe or unsound banking practices, and/or breaches of fiduciary duty as an institution-affiliated party of Citizens Bank of Luling, Luling, Texas (“Bank”);

(b) By reason of such violations, practices and/or breaches, the Bank has suffered or will probably suffer an unreasonable risk of financial loss or other damage; and,

(c) Such violations, practices, and/or breaches involved personal dishonesty on the part of the Respondent and/or demonstrated the Respondent’s willful and/or continuing disregard for the safety or soundness of the Bank.

The FDIC further determined that such violations, practices, and/or breaches demonstrate the Respondent’s unfitness to serve as a director, officer, person participating in the conduct of the affairs, or as an institution-affiliated party of the Bank, any other insured depository institution, or any other agency or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A). The FDIC accepted the CONSENT AGREEMENT and issued the following:

ORDER OF PROHIBITION
FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION

1. Edward S. Casseb is hereby, without the prior written approval of the FDIC and the “appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency,” as that term is defined in section 8(e)(7)(D) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D), prohibited from:

(a) participating in any manner in the conduct of the affairs of any financial institution or organization enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. §

1818(e)(7)(A);

(b) soliciting, procuring, transferring, attempting to transfer, voting, or attempting to vote any proxy, consent, or authorization with respect to any voting rights in any financial institution enumerated in section 8(e)(7)(A) of the Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

(c) violating any voting agreement previously approved by the appropriate Federal banking agency; and

(d) voting for a director, or serving or acting as an institution-affiliated party.

2. This ORDER will become effective upon its issuance. The provisions of this ORDER will remain effective and enforceable except to the extent that, and until such time as, any provision of this ORDER is modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC.

Pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated, this 17th day of March, 2011.

/s/
Serena L. Owens
Associate Director Risk Management
Division of Supervision and
Consumer Protection