FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter of Mariarlene Brown, an institution-affiliated party of Truist Bank Charlotte, North Carolina (Insured State Nonmember Bank) Respondent's NMLS UI# N/A	ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION FDIC-25-0074e

Mariarlene Brown (Respondent) and Respondent's counsel were advised of the right to receive a Notice of Intention to Prohibit from Further Participation (Notice) detailing Respondent's violations of laws for which an Order of Prohibition from Further Participation (Prohibition Order) may be issued under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e).

Respondent and Respondent's counsel were further advised of the right to a hearing on the Notice under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e) and 12 C.F.R. Part 308, subparts A & B. Respondent waived certain rights under those provisions on September 9, 2025, and consented to the issuance of the Prohibition Order by entering into a Stipulation and Consent to the Issuance of an Order of Prohibition from Further Participation (Consent Agreement) with a representative of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation's (FDIC) Legal Division.

The FDIC determined and Respondent neither admits nor denies the following:

1. Respondent, beginning at least as early as November 2021 and continuing until about June 2022, while working as a Universal Banker at a Valdosta, Georgia branch of Truist Bank, Charlotte, North Carolina (Bank), misused her position by conspiring with multiple parties to defraud the Bank. Respondent improperly accessed customer accounts to gain information

which she provided to her co-conspirators, and assisted co-conspirators in depositing checks which Respondent knew or should have known to be forged or otherwise fraudulent. As a direct result of Respondent's actions, her co-conspirators were subsequently able to improperly withdraw or transfer funds from the subject accounts.

2. As described in paragraph 1, Respondent violated laws.

3. Respondent's violations caused the Bank to suffer financial loss, and Respondent received financial gain.

4. Respondent's violations involved personal dishonesty.

The FDIC accepts the Consent Agreement and issues the following:

ORDER OF PROHIBITION FROM FURTHER PARTICIPATION

5. Mariarlene Brown is prohibited from:

a. participating in any manner in the conduct of the affairs of any financial institution or organization listed in 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

b. soliciting, procuring, transferring, attempting to transfer, voting, or attempting to vote any proxy, consent, or authorization with respect to any voting rights in any financial institution enumerated in 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(A);

c. violating any voting agreement previously approved by the appropriate Federal banking agency; and

d. voting for a director or serving or acting as an institution-affiliated party.

6. The Prohibition Order is effective upon issuance and will remain effective and enforceable until the FDIC, and any "appropriate Federal financial institutions regulatory agency," defined at 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(D), decide in writing to modify, terminate, suspend, or set aside the Order under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(e)(7)(B).

7. The Prohibition Order is enforceable under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(i), and any violation of the Prohibition Order may result in additional penalties under 12 U.S.C. § 1818(j).

8. The Prohibition Order does not waive any right, power, or authority of the United States; federal, state, or local agencies; or the FDIC as Receiver.

Issued under delegated authority.

/s/ Date: 8/31/2026
Sandra Macias
Associate Director
Division of Risk Management Supervision